

# Affine $\Lambda$ -buildings, ultrapowers of Lie groups and Riemannian symmetric spaces: an algebraic proof of the Margulis conjecture

Linus Kramer and Katrin Tent \*

Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

`{kramer,tent}@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de`

*Dedicated to the memory of Reinhold Baer on his 100th birthday*

## Introduction

Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type, and let  $G = I(X)$  and  $G' = I(X')$  denote their respective isometry groups. Then  $G$  and  $G'$  are (finite extensions of) semisimple real Lie groups. Suppose furthermore that  $\Gamma$  is a (torsion free) group which injects as a cocompact lattice into  $G$  and into  $G'$ ,

$$G \longleftarrow \Gamma \hookrightarrow G'.$$

Then  $\Gamma$  acts cocompactly on  $X$  and  $X'$ , and the orbit spaces  $X/\Gamma$  and  $X'/\Gamma$  are closed manifolds with contractible universal covers. The only nontrivial homotopy groups are thus the fundamental groups,

$$\pi_1(X/\Gamma) \cong \Gamma \cong \pi_1(X'/\Gamma).$$

It follows that there is a homotopy equivalence  $X/\Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} X'/\Gamma$  which can be lifted to a map  $f : X \longrightarrow X'$ . This map  $f$  can then be shown to be a *quasi-isometry*. Recall that a (not necessarily continuous) map  $f : X \longrightarrow X'$  between metric spaces is called an  $(L, C)$ -quasi-isometry if there exist constants  $L \geq 1$  and  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$L^{-1} \cdot d(x, y) - C \leq d'(f(x), f(y)) \leq L \cdot d(x, y) + C.$$

holds for all  $x, y \in X$ , and such that every  $x' \in X'$  is  $C$ -close to some image point  $f(x)$ . Special instances of quasi-isometries are

- (1) *isometries* (where  $(L, C) = (1, 0)$ )
- (2) *bi-Lipschitz maps* (where  $C = 0$ )
- (3) *coarse isometries* (where  $L = 1$ ).

---

\*Both supported by *Heisenberg* fellowships of the DFG

**Mostow's Rigidity Theorem** [16] *If the Riemannian symmetric spaces  $X$  and  $X'$  have no de Rham factors of rank 1, then there exists a Lie group isomorphism  $G \xrightarrow{\cong} G'$  such the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & \hookrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow & \searrow \cong & \\ G' & & \end{array}$$

*commutes. In particular, there is an isometry  $X \cong X'$  (after rescaling the metrics on the de Rham factors of  $X'$ , if necessary.)*

The key idea of Mostow's proof was to show that  $f$  induces an isomorphism between the spherical buildings attached to  $X$  and  $X'$ . This is not trivial, and the group  $\Gamma$  plays an important rôle in Mostow's argument. The Margulis conjecture may be stated as follows:

**Margulis Conjecture** *If  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  is a quasi-isometry, then (after rescaling the de Rham factors)  $X$  and  $X'$  are isometric, and there exists an isometry  $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow X'$  at bounded distance from  $f$ .*

Kleiner-Leeb [12] proved the Margulis conjecture in 1997; later, Eskin-Farb [9] gave another proof. Kleiner-Leeb used Gromov's technique of asymptotic cones [10]. The asymptotic cone is a very powerful functor on the category of pointed metric spaces. Kleiner-Leeb showed that the asymptotic cone of a Riemannian symmetric space is an affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -building, and they used this building systematically in their work [12]. (Note that they work with a class of metric spaces they call *euclidean buildings*; their system of axioms is different from Tits' *systèmes d'appartements* [22]. Parreau [17] proved that their spaces are a subcase of Tits' *systèmes d'appartements*.) The point is that the quasi-isometry between the Riemannian symmetric spaces induces a homeomorphism of their respective affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings, and that this homeomorphism can be shown to be an isomorphism.

However, it does not follow from their paper which building the asymptotic cone of a given Riemannian symmetric space really is. In this paper, we give a general group-theoretic construction of affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings, and more generally, of affine  $\Lambda$ -buildings, associated to semisimple Lie groups over nonarchimedean real closed fields. This result is new. The construction of Kleiner-Leeb using the asymptotic cone appears only as a special case. Also, we give a new, sheaf-theoretic proof for the topological rigidity of affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings. The explicit knowledge of the building arising here as the asymptotic cone simplifies the proof of the Margulis conjecture; it also sheds some light on the algebraic background of Mostow rigidity and the Margulis conjecture.

The present report is meant as a survey without detailed proofs. After completing it, we learned that Thornton, a student of Kleiner, independently proved Corollary 4.7 [21]. He writes in his introduction: 'In the case of [...] the group  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{R}$ , Leeb identified the asymptotic cone as a homogeneous space over an algebraic group. Parreau showed that the asymptotic cone for [...]  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{R}$  fits a certain model for Euclidean buildings [...]'. The case  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{R}$  is in fact rather elementary; Bennett [3] showed that one obtains from this group an affine  $\Lambda$ -building over *any* valued field.

# 1 $\Lambda$ -metric spaces

Throughout this section,  $(\Lambda, +, \leq)$  is an ordered abelian group; everything we need can be found in [18]. As usual, we define  $|\lambda| = \max\{\pm\lambda\}$ .

**1.1** We use the following slight generalization of a metric space. A  $\Lambda$ -pseudometric on a set  $X$  is a function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \Lambda$  which satisfies the usual axioms of a pseudometric for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$d(x, x) = 0 \quad d(x, y) = d(y, x) \geq 0 \quad d(x, y) + d(y, z) \geq d(x, z).$$

If  $d(x, y) = 0$  implies that  $x = y$ , then the  $\Lambda$ -pseudometric is called a  $\Lambda$ -metric. A  $\Lambda$ -pseudometric defines a topology on  $X$  in the usual way, which is Hausdorff if and only if  $d$  is a  $\Lambda$ -metric. For  $\Lambda = (\mathbb{R}, +)$ , we have of course the traditional version of a real valued (pseudo)metric.

The following easy lemma will be used later.

**1.2 Lemma** *Let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \Lambda$  be a function. Suppose that for any two elements  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a subset  $A \subseteq X$ , containing  $x$  and  $y$ , such that the restriction  $d|_{A \times A}$  is a  $\Lambda$ -(pseudo)metric. If, for every such  $A$ , there exists a retraction  $\rho_A : X \rightarrow A$  (i.e.  $\rho_A(X) = A$  and  $\rho_A(a) = a$  for all  $a \in A$ ) which diminishes  $d$  (i.e.  $d(\rho_A(x), \rho_A(y)) \leq d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ), then  $d$  is a  $\Lambda$ -(pseudo)metric.*

*Proof.* The only point to check is the triangle inequality. So let  $x, y, z \in X$ , with  $x, z \in A$  and  $\rho_A : X \rightarrow A$  as above. Then

$$d(x, z) = d(\rho_A(x), \rho_A(z)) \leq d(\rho_A(x), \rho_A(y)) + d(\rho_A(y), \rho_A(z)) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z).$$

□

Recall that  $\Lambda$  is *archimedean* if the following is true: for any two elements  $x, y > 0$ , there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $nx \geq y$ . An ordered abelian group is archimedean if and only if its only *o*-convex (*order convex*) subgroups are 0 and  $\Lambda$ . Any archimedean  $\Lambda$  admits an *o*-embedding into  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ , which is unique up to a scaling factor; thus, our concept of a  $\Lambda$ -metric is broader than the usual concept of a metric only in the nonarchimedean case.

**1.3** In the nonarchimedean case, suppose that  $\Omega \subseteq \Lambda$  is an *o*-convex subgroup, and that  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \Lambda$  is a  $\Lambda$ -pseudometric. Put

$$x \approx_\Omega y \quad \text{if} \quad d(x, y) \in \Omega.$$

This is an equivalence relation on  $X$ , and we put  $X_x^\Omega = \{y \in X \mid x \approx_\Omega y\}$ . The composite pseudometric  $X \times X \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda/\Omega$  induces a  $\Lambda/\Omega$ -metric on the set

$$\{X_x^\Omega \mid x \in X\} = X/\Omega$$

of  $\approx_\Omega$ -equivalence classes in  $X$ . In other words, we identify points whose distance is in  $\Omega$ . This generalizes the well-known process of making a pseudometric into a metric (the case  $\Omega = 0$ ).

**1.4** Given two elements  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  in  $\Lambda$ , we write  $\alpha \gg \beta$  if  $\alpha > n\beta$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  be a positive element ( $\alpha > 0$ ), and let

$$\Lambda^{(\alpha)} = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid |\lambda| \leq n\alpha \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{(\alpha)} = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid |\lambda| \ll \alpha\}$$

Intuitively, we truncate the  $\Lambda$  behind the multiples of  $\alpha$  and before  $\alpha$ , respectively. Then  $\Lambda_{(\alpha)}$  is a maximal  $o$ -convex subgroup of  $\Lambda^{(\alpha)}$  and the quotient

$$\Lambda^{(\alpha)} = \Lambda^{(\alpha)} / \Lambda_{(\alpha)}$$

is archimedean; there exists a unique  $o$ -homomorphism  $\phi : \Lambda^{(\alpha)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\phi(\alpha) = 1$ , whose kernel is precisely  $\Lambda_{(\alpha)}$ ,

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda_{(\alpha)} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{(\alpha)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

**1.5** We can make a very similar construction with any  $\Lambda$ -pseudometric space  $X$ . Choose a basepoint  $o \in X$  and let

$$X_o^{(\alpha)} = \{x \in X \mid x \approx_{\Lambda^{(\alpha)}} o\} = \{x \in X \mid d(x, o) \leq n\alpha \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The composite  $\phi \circ d : X_o^{(\alpha)} \times X_o^{(\alpha)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -pseudometric, and induces an  $\mathbb{R}$ -metric on the quotient

$$X_o^{(\alpha)} = X_o^{(\alpha)} / \Lambda_{(\alpha)}.$$

While all these metric concepts are rather simple and basic, we will see that the construction of Gromov's *asymptotic cones* [10] is just a special instance of this basic method, applied to ultrapowers of metric spaces.

## 2 Valuations on real closed fields

The basic reference for this section is again [18]; the ideas date back to Baer and Artin-Schreier.

**2.1** Let  $R$  be a *real closed field*, i.e. an ordered field where ever positive element is a square, and where every odd polynomial has a zero. Let  $O \subseteq R$  be an  $o$ -convex subring (containing 0 and 1). Then  $O$  is a *valuation ring*: if  $\alpha \in R \setminus O$ , then  $\alpha^{-1} \in O$ . The ring  $O$  thus has a unique maximal ideal  $M$  consisting of all nonunits. The quotient  $O/M$  is again a real closed field. If  $K$  is any maximal subfield of  $O$ , then  $K$  is real closed and  $o$ -projects onto  $O/M$ , so  $O$  splits as a direct sum  $R = K \oplus M$  (note however that there is in general no canonical choice for  $K$ ),

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow O \xrightarrow{\quad} O/M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Of course, an archimedean real closed field  $R$  (such as  $\mathbb{R}$ ) contains no proper  $o$ -convex subring, but there is an abundance of nonarchimedean examples; in the next section, we will have a construction using ultraproducts.

**2.2** Let  $R$  be a nonarchimedean real closed field, let  $\alpha \gg 1$ , and let  $O^{(\alpha)} = \{r \in R \mid |r| \leq \alpha^n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  denote the  $\alpha$ -convex subring generated by  $\alpha$ . The maximal ideal is  $M^{(\alpha)} = \{r \in R \mid |r| \leq \alpha^{-n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and we put

$$R^{(\alpha)} = O^{(\alpha)} / M^{(\alpha)}.$$

Intuitively, we have truncated the field  $R$  behind the powers of  $\alpha$ . If  $R = R^{(\alpha)}$ , we call  $R$  an  $\alpha$ -archimedean field (because  $R$  is archimedean over the subfield  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ ). The real closed field  $R^{(\alpha)}$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -archimedean, where  $\bar{\alpha}$  is the image of  $\alpha \in O^{(\alpha)}$  in  $R^{(\alpha)}$ .

**2.3** In general, a real closed field need not have a logarithm or exponential function (i.e. an  $\alpha$ -isomorphism  $(R, +) \cong (R_{>0}, \cdot)$ ). In fact, no  $\alpha$ -archimedean real closed field can have an exponential function. However, we may define a *formal logarithm* as follows: we take for  $(\Lambda, +)$  an  $\alpha$ -isomorphic copy of the ordered abelian (multiplicative) group  $(R_{>0}, \cdot)$  of positive elements. For this, we rewrite this group additively, putting

$$\lg : (R_{>0}, \cdot) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\Lambda, +).$$

Note that then  $|\lg r|$  corresponds to  $\max\{r, r^{-1}\}$ . If  $O \subseteq R$  is an  $\alpha$ -convex subring, we may consider the  $\alpha$ -convex subgroup

$$\Omega = \{\lg r \mid r > 0 \text{ is a unit of } O\} \subseteq \Lambda.$$

If we put  $\nu(0) = \infty$ , then the map

$$\nu : r \longmapsto -\lg |r| + \Omega \in \Lambda / \Omega$$

is precisely the *valuation* determined by  $O \subseteq R$ ; the *value group* is  $\Gamma = \Lambda / \Omega$ .

**2.4** Suppose that  $R = R^{(\alpha)}$  is  $\alpha$ -archimedean, and consider the  $\alpha$ -convex subring

$$O_{(\alpha)} = \{r \in R \mid |r|^n \leq \alpha \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then the value group  $\Gamma = \Lambda / \Omega$  is archimedean, because  $\Lambda = \Lambda^{(\lg \alpha)}$  and  $\Omega = \Omega_{(\lg \alpha)}$ , so we have a (nondiscrete, rank 1) real-valued  $\alpha$ -valuation  $\nu$  on the field  $R$ . A special instance of this construction is Robinson's *asymptotic field*  ${}^o\mathbb{R}$  [19] [15], which we introduce in the next section.

### 3 Ultraproducts

A basic reference for this section is [7].

**3.1** Let  $I$  be an (infinite) set, and let  $\mu : 2^I \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be a finitely additive probability measure. The collection of all sets with  $\mu$ -measure 1 is a *nonprincipal ultrafilter*. Using the axiom of choice, the existence of such a measure can be proved without difficulty. (Note that every subset of  $I$  is required to be  $\mu$ -measurable! Clearly, every finite set has measure 0, and every cofinite set has measure 1.)

Suppose that  $(\mathcal{Q}_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of first order structures: a family of groups, rings, fields, or metric spaces. The direct product  $\prod \mathcal{Q}_i$  of these structures will in general be of a weaker type;

for example, the direct product of fields is a ring with zero-divisors. This deficiency can be corrected using the measure  $\mu$ : two elements  $(q_i)_{i \in I}, (q'_i)_{i \in I}$  of the direct product are identified if their difference set  $\{j \in I \mid q_j \neq q'_j\}$  has  $\mu$ -measure 0. The resulting collection of equivalence classes is the *ultraproduct*

$$\prod_{\mu} \mathcal{Q}_i.$$

An ultraproduct has the same kind of first-order properties as its factors; for example, an ultraproduct of fields is again a field. Note that this construction is completely analogous to the construction of the Hilbert space  $L^2$ , where integrable functions are identified if they differ on a set of Lebesgue measure 0.

**3.2** An important special case is when all structures  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  are equal to one fixed structure  $\mathcal{Q}$ ; in this case, one obtains an *ultrapower*

$${}^*\mathcal{Q} = \prod_{\mu} \mathcal{Q}$$

which is also called a *nonstandard model* of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . If  $\mathcal{Q} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, \leq)$  is the field of real numbers, the resulting field  $\prod_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, \leq) = ({}^*\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, \leq)$  is the field of *nonstandard real numbers*. This is a nonarchimedean real closed field.

**3.3** Let  $\alpha \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$  be an infinitely large nonstandard real, and let  $\rho = \alpha^{-1}$ . The fields

$${}^\rho\mathbb{R} = ({}^*\mathbb{R})^{(\alpha)}$$

were first studied by Robinson [19] [15], and are sometimes called *Robinson's asymptotic fields*.

**3.4** Suppose now that each  $\mathcal{Q}_i = (d_i : X_i \times X_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{R})$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -metric space. Then the ultraproduct

$$\prod_{\mu} (d_i : X_i \times X_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}) = (\mathbf{d} : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R})$$

is a  $\Lambda$ -metric space, with  $\Lambda = ({}^*\mathbb{R}, +)$ . If we pick a point  $o \in \mathbf{X}$ , a number  $\alpha \gg 1$  in  ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$X_o^{(\alpha)} = \{x \in \mathbf{X} \mid \alpha^{-1}\mathbf{d}(x, o) \leq n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and the  $\mathbb{R}$ -metric space

$$\mathbf{X}_o^{(\alpha)} = \mathbf{X}_o^{(\alpha)} / \Lambda_{(\alpha)}$$

is precisely Gromov's *asymptotic cone* of the family  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ , where all points  $x, y$  with infinitesimal distance  $\alpha^{-1}\mathbf{d}(x, y)$  are identified [10]. The general construction is due to Van den Dries and Wilkie [23].

## 4 Riemannian symmetric spaces over real closed fields

For the geometry of Riemannian symmetric spaces, see [8], [11], [2], and [5].

**4.1** Let  $P_n$  denote the set of all symmetric positive definite real  $n \times n$ -matrices with determinant 1. Every such matrix is of the form  $X = gg^T$ , for some  $g \in \mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{R}$ . The set  $P_n$  can be identified with the Riemannian symmetric space  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{R}/\mathrm{SO}(n)$  consisting of all elliptic polarities of real projective  $n - 1$ -space. The group  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{R}$  acts as

$$(g, X) \longmapsto gXg^T$$

(the geodesic reflection at  $X \in P_n$  is  $Y \longmapsto XY^{-1}X$ ). Up to a real scaling factor, the metric  $d_R$  induced by the (unique invariant) Riemannian metric of  $P_n$  is given as follows. For  $X \in P_n$ , let  $Y = \log(X)$  denote the unique traceless symmetric matrix with  $\exp(Y) = X$ . Then

$$d_R(\mathbf{1}, X)^2 = \mathrm{tr}(Y^2).$$

**4.2** Now  $P_n$  is an algebraic variety defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and we may consider its set of  $R$ -points  $P_n(R)$  over any real closed field  $R$ . The metric  $d_R$ , however, involves an analytic function, the logarithm, which need not be defined over an arbitrary real closed field  $R$ . We fix this problem, using the formal logarithm  $\lg : R_{>0} \longrightarrow \Lambda$  defined in 2.3. Given a diagonal matrix  $X = \mathrm{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in P_n(R)$ , we define the  $\Lambda$ -valued distance

$$d(\mathbf{1}, X) = |\lg x_1| + \dots + |\lg x_n| \in \Lambda.$$

This function is obviously invariant under coordinate permutations (the Weyl group action for  $\mathrm{SL}_n R$ ); it follows that we can use the  $\mathrm{SL}_n R$ -action to extend  $d$  to a well-defined distance function on  $P_n(R)$ , setting

$$d(gg^T, gXg^T) = d(\mathbf{1}, X)$$

(where  $X$  is a diagonal matrix). On the set  $A$  of all diagonal matrices in  $P_n(R)$ , this is clearly a  $\Lambda$ -metric (essentially, it is the Manhattan Taxi Metric). By Lemma 1.2 and Kostant's Convexity Theorem [13],  $d$  is indeed an  $\mathrm{SL}_n R$ -invariant metric on  $P_n(R)$ . Over the reals, we may take  $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lg = \log$ ; then  $d$  and  $d_R$  are different, but (bi-Lipschitz) equivalent metrics.

**4.3** We have successfully made  $X = P_n(R)$  into a  $\Lambda$ -metric space. Suppose now that  $O \subsetneq R$  is an  $\mathcal{o}$ -convex subring. Put as before  $\Omega = \{|\lg r| : r > 0 \text{ and } r \text{ is a unit of } O\} \subseteq \Lambda$ . Then  $\Gamma = \Lambda/\Omega$  is the value group of the valuation determined by  $O$ . The group  $\mathrm{SL}_n R$  acts by isometries on the  $\Lambda/\Omega$ -metric space  $P_n(R)/\Omega$ , and it is not difficult to check the following result:

$$P_n(R)/\Omega = \mathrm{SL}_n(R)/\mathrm{SL}_n(O).$$

**4.4** Suppose now that  $R = R^{(\alpha)}$  is  $\alpha$ -archimedean, see 2.2, and that  $O = O_{(\alpha)}$ . Then the value group  $\Gamma = \Lambda/\Omega = \Lambda^{(\alpha)}$  is archimedean (we could take for example  $R = {}^o\mathbb{R}$ , Robinson's asymptotic field). Consequently,

$$P_n(R)/\Omega = \mathrm{SL}_n(R)/\mathrm{SL}_n(O)$$

is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -metric space. The main result of Section 5 is that this quotient is a (nondiscrete) affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -building (of type  $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ , in fact). The group  $\mathrm{SL}_n(R)$  acts as a transitive automorphism group on its vertices. More generally, if  $O \subseteq R$  is any  $\mathcal{o}$ -convex valuation ring, then  $\mathrm{SL}_n(R)/\mathrm{SL}_n(O)$  is an affine  $\Lambda/\Omega$ -building in the sense of Bennett [3].

**4.5** In this section, we have concentrated on the Riemannian symmetric space  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{R}/\mathrm{SO}(n)$ . Everything we have done works in the same generality for any Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type. In fact, any irreducible Riemannian symmetric space can be equivariantly embedded in  $P_n$  as a totally geodesic submanifold; the embedding can be chosen to be algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then one obtains without much difficulty the following result.

**4.6 Theorem** *Let  $R$  be a (nonarchimedean) real closed field, let  $O$  be an  $o$ -convex subring, let  $X$  be a Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type. Let  $G$  be the corresponding semisimple real Lie group, with maximal compact subgroup  $K$ . Then we can view  $G$  and  $K$  as real algebraic groups defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $X = G/K$  as a real algebraic variety. Define  $\Lambda$  and  $\Omega$  as above. Then there exists a  $G(R)$ -invariant  $\Lambda$ -metric on  $X(R) = G(R)/K(R)$  (semialgebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ), and there is a natural equivariant identification*

$$X(R)/\Omega = G(R)/G(O)$$

*of  $\Lambda/\Omega$ -metric spaces. If  $R$  is in addition  $\alpha$ -archimedean, and  $O = O_{\langle\alpha\rangle}$ , then  $X(R)/\Omega$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -metric space.  $\square$*

Now we consider as a special case the ultrapower  $\prod_\mu (X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}) = (\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R})$ . Pick a basepoint  $o \in \mathbf{X}$  and an infinitely large nonstandard real  $\alpha \gg 1$ . Let  $\rho = 1/\alpha$ .

**4.7 Corollary** *For the asymptotic cone of the Riemannian symmetric space  $X$ , we have*

$$\mathbf{X}_o^{(\alpha)} = G(R)/G(O),$$

*where  $R = {}^\rho\mathbb{R} = ({}^*\mathbb{R})^{\langle\alpha\rangle}$  is Robinson's asymptotic field, and  $O = O_{\langle\bar{\alpha}\rangle}$ .  $\square$*

## 5 Affine $\Lambda$ -buildings

**5.1** Suppose that  $W$  is a finite Coxeter group satisfying the crystallographic condition. Then  $W$  acts naturally on a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be an ordered abelian group; then  $W$  acts naturally on  $A = L \otimes \Lambda \cong \Lambda^n$ . Let  $\overline{W} = W \ltimes (A, +)$  denote the corresponding affine reflection group. Using the  $W$ -invariant inner product  $L \otimes L \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , it is possible to construct a  $\overline{W}$ -invariant  $\Lambda$ -metric on  $d : A \times A \longrightarrow \Lambda$ . (For the case of the symmetric group, the metric – the Manhattan Taxi Metric – was given in 4.2 above.) Using the action of  $W$  on  $A$ , it makes sense to talk about affine reflection hyperplanes and closed halfspaces. A subset  $B \subseteq A$  is called  *$W$ -convex* if it is the intersection of finitely many halfspaces.

**5.2** Suppose that  $X$  is a  $\Lambda$ -metric space. An *atlas* on  $X$  is a collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\Lambda$ -isometric injections  $\phi : A \longrightarrow X$ , called *coordinate charts*, with the following properties.

(A1) If  $\phi$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $w \in \overline{W}$ , then  $\phi \circ w : A \longrightarrow X$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

(A2) Given two charts  $\phi_1, \phi_2$ , the set  $B = \phi_1^{-1}(\phi_2(A))$  is  $W$ -convex, and there exists a  $w \in \overline{W}$  with  $\phi_1|_B = \phi_2 \circ w|_B$ .

The sets  $F = \phi(A)$  are called *apartments*; the image  $S = \phi(S_0)$  of the basic Weyl cone  $S_0 \subseteq A$  is called a *sector*.

(A3) Given  $x, y \in X$ , there exists an apartment  $F = \phi(A)$  containing  $x$  and  $y$ .



(A4) Given two sectors  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq X$ , there exist subsectors  $S'_1 \subseteq S_1$  and  $S'_2 \subseteq S_2$  and an apartment  $F$  containing  $S'_1 \cup S'_2$ .

(A5) If  $F_1, F_2, F_3$  are apartments such that each of the three sets  $F_i \cap F_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , is a halfapartment (i.e. the  $\phi$ -image of a halfspace), then  $F_1 \cap F_2 \cap F_3 \neq \emptyset$ .

(A6) For any apartment  $F$  and any  $x \in F$ , there exists a retraction  $\rho_{x,F} : X \rightarrow F$  which diminishes distances, with  $\rho_{x,F}^{-1}(x) = \{x\}$ .

The pair  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is called an *affine  $\Lambda$ -building* [3]. The atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  is, in general, not unique, but it is always contained in a unique maximal atlas.

If we take for  $X$  a Riemannian symmetric space and the maximal flats as the apartments, then  $X$  satisfies axioms (A1) and (A3), and, rather trivially, axioms (A2) and (A5), while axiom (A4) is only approximately true.

**5.3** For  $n = 1$ , an affine  $\Lambda$ -building is the same as a  $\Lambda$ -tree [3] [1]; affine  $\Lambda$ -buildings are in a sense higher-dimensional versions of ( $\Lambda$ -)trees. The notion of affine  $\Lambda$ -buildings is due to Bennett [3]; affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings are the same as a Tits' *systèmes d'appartements* [22]. Kleiner-Leeb [12] give a different set of axioms for spaces they call *euclidean buildings*; Parreau [17] proved that their spaces are special cases of affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings. Finally, there are the affine buildings in the proper sense of building theory [6] [20]. One has the following inclusions:

$$\{\text{affine } \Lambda\text{-buildings}\} \supsetneq \{\text{affine } \mathbb{R}\text{-buildings}\} \supsetneq \{\text{Kleiner-Leeb euclidean buildings}\} \supsetneq \{\text{affine buildings}\}$$

**5.4** Let  $X$  be an affine  $\Lambda$ -building, let  $o \in X$ . One can construct two spherical buildings from  $X$ . Consider the collection  $\mathbf{Sec}_o$  of all sectors  $\phi(S_0)$  whose tip  $\phi(0)$  is  $o$  (recall that  $S_0 \subseteq L \otimes \Lambda$  is the basic Weyl cone). Then  $\mathbf{Sec}_o$  generates in a rather natural way a poset, the *spherical building at infinity*. Using the axioms above, one can show that different basepoints lead to canonically isomorphic buildings at infinity, and we denote the resulting building by

$$\Delta_\infty^{\mathcal{A}} X.$$

This building depends on the atlas  $\mathcal{A}$ ; if  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal, we omit it and write  $\Delta_\infty X$ .

**5.5** One can construct another spherical building from  $\mathbf{Sec}_o$ ; here, we identify two sectors  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathbf{Sec}_o$  if they agree inside an open ball around  $o$  (with respect to the  $\Lambda$ -metric). The corresponding spherical building is denoted  $\Delta_o X$ ; it is independent of the apartment system (because it is defined by local data). There is a canonical building epimorphism

$$\Delta_\infty^{\mathcal{A}} X \rightarrow \Delta_o X.$$

**5.6** Let  $R$  be a real closed field and let  $O \subsetneq R$  be an  $o$ -convex subring. Let  $X = G/K$  be a Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type. Our first main result is as follows.

**5.7 Theorem** *The quotient  $G(R)/G(O)$  is an affine  $\Gamma$ -building, where  $\Gamma = \Lambda/\Omega$  is the value group of the valuation determined by  $O$ , see 2.3. In particular, if  $R = R^{(\alpha)}$  is  $\alpha$ -archimedean and  $O = O_{(\alpha)}$ , then  $G(R)/G(O)$  is an affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -building. The automorphism group of the building at infinity (with respect to the apartment system we construct) is the group  $G(R)$ , provided that no simple factor of  $G$  has rank 1.*

The proof depends on various classical results about semisimple Lie groups. As the apartment system, we take the images of the maximal flats in the Riemannian symmetric space  $G(R)/K(R)$  under the canonical map

$$G(R)/K(R) = G(R)/K(O) \longrightarrow G(R)/G(O).$$

Let  $G = KAU$  be an Iwasawa decomposition. For example, axiom **(A3)** is a consequence of the  $KAK$ -decomposition,  $G(R) = K(R)A(R)K(R)$ , while **(A2)** follows from Kostant's Convexity Theorem. The proof of **(A6)** depends also on the Convexity Theorem, and the Iwasawa projection  $g = kau \longmapsto a$ .

**5.8 Corollary** *In the special case of Robinson's asymptotic fields  ${}^\rho\mathbb{R}$ , we obtain in this way the full (maximal) apartment system. Thus, if  $G$  has no simple factor of rank 1, then  $G({}^\rho\mathbb{R})$  is the full automorphism group of  $X$ .*

The corollary uses the model-theoretic fact that ultrapowers are saturated.

## 6 Topological rigidity of affine $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings

Let  $\Delta$  be the underlying simplicial complex of a (thick) rank  $k$  building [6] [20], and let  $|\Delta|$  denote its geometric realization (topologized in any sensible way). It is not difficult to recover the combinatorial structure of  $\Delta$  from the topological space  $|\Delta|$ ; the  $k - 1$ -skeleton of  $|\Delta|$  is precisely the set of all points in  $|\Delta|$  which do not have a locally euclidean neighborhood. It follows that buildings whose geometric realizations are homeomorphic are isomorphic. This is considerably more involved for nondiscrete affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings, since here, it may happen that no point has a locally euclidean neighborhood. Topological rigidity of affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings was proved first by Kleiner-Leeb [12]. The appearance of local homology groups in their argument suggests that there should be a simple sheaf-theoretic proof. This is indeed the case. The amount of sheaf theory needed here is very modest. The first introductory pages of [4] completely suffice; in particular, we do *not* need such sophisticated tools as sheaf-theoretic (co)homology, although some ideas are inspired by [14].

**6.1** To any Hausdorff space  $X$ , one can associate two basic presheaves: firstly, the graded  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -module valued presheaf  $U \longmapsto H_\bullet(X, X \setminus U; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  (ordinary singular homology with  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -coefficients) and secondly, the presheaf  $U \longmapsto 2^U$  which assigns to  $U$  the boolean algebra of all subsets of  $U$ . Let

$$\mathcal{H}_\bullet = \text{Sheaf}(U \longmapsto H_\bullet(X, X \setminus U; \mathbb{Z}/2)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S} = \text{Sheaf}(U \longmapsto 2^U)$$

denote the corresponding sheaves. The stalks of the first sheaf are the local homology groups,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\bullet, x} = H_\bullet(X, X \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

(this follows from the axiom of compact supports for singular homology), while the stalk  $\mathcal{S}_x$  consists of germs of subsets of  $X$  near  $x$ . Note that the closure operation for subsets is compatible with restriction and thus induces a closure operation on germs of subsets near  $x$ . Given a local section  $s : U \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\bullet(U)$  of  $\mathcal{H}_\bullet$  over  $U$ , there is the closed subset  $\text{supp}(s) = \{x \in U \mid s_x \neq 0\}$ .

This yields a natural map  $ssg : \mathcal{H}_{\bullet, x} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_x$  on the stalks: any element  $\xi \in H_{\bullet}(X, X \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  determines a subset germ  $ssg(\xi)$  at  $x$ . For  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\bullet, x}$ , we define

$$\xi * \eta = ssg(\xi) \cap ssg(\eta) \setminus ssg(\xi + \eta) \in \mathcal{S}_x.$$

**6.2** Suppose now that  $X$  is an affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -building. Let  $o \in X$  and recall from 5.4 and 5.5 the definition of  $\mathbf{Sec}_o$  and the building  $\Delta_o X$ . Each sector  $S \in \mathbf{Sec}_o$  determines a germ  $l(S) \in \mathcal{S}_o$ ; the resulting sub-poset of  $\mathcal{S}_o$  generated by the intersections of these germs is precisely  $\Delta_o X$ ,

$$\Delta_o X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_o.$$

Now let  $F$  be an apartment of  $X$  containing  $o$ . Using the retraction  $\rho_{o, F} : X \longrightarrow F$ , one sees that  $F$  determines an element  $\xi_F \in H_{\bullet}(X, X \setminus \{o\}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ , given by the image of  $H_{\bullet}(F, F \setminus \{o\}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . To simplify the argument, we assume that all the buildings  $\Delta_o X$  are *thick*; in the setting of the proof of the Margulis conjecture, this is the case. (The general case, where the  $\Delta_o X$  may be weak buildings requires some minor refinements.)

**6.3 Proposition** *There is an isomorphism*

$$H_{\bullet+1}(X, X \setminus \{o\}; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H_{\bullet}(X \setminus \{o\}; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H_{\bullet}(|\Delta_o X|; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

The first isomorphism comes from the contractibility of  $X$ . The main point of the proof of the second isomorphism is to show that every element  $\xi \in H_{\bullet}(X \setminus \{o\}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  arises as above from (finitely many) apartments. Here, the key idea is to use the fact that every chamber in  $\Delta_o X$  has a small neighborhood which lifts isometrically into the neighborhood of some chamber of  $\Delta_{\infty} X$ .  $\square$

Using this result, we can characterize the germs  $l(S) \in \mathcal{S}_o$ .

**6.4 Theorem** *Every chamber  $l(S)$  of  $\Delta_o X$  is of the form  $\overline{\xi * \eta}$ , for suitably chosen  $\xi, \eta$ . Every element  $\xi * \eta$  which is minimal (i.e. there exist no  $\xi', \eta'$  with  $\emptyset \neq \xi' * \eta' \subsetneq \xi * \eta$ ) represents a chamber  $l(S)$  of  $\Delta_o X$ .*  $\square$

**6.5 Corollary** *Let  $f : X \longrightarrow X'$  be a homeomorphism of affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings. Then  $f$  induces an isomorphism between  $\Delta_o X$  and  $\Delta_{f(o)} X'$ , for every  $o \in X$ .*

From this local result, one can without difficulty derive the following.

**6.6 Theorem** *Let  $s : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\bullet}$  be a global section of the sheaf  $\mathcal{H}_{\bullet} \longrightarrow X$  over the affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -building  $X$ . Then  $A = \text{supp}(s) \subset X$  is an apartment if and only if (1)  $A$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , for some  $n$ , and (2)  $ssg(s_a)$  is an apartment in  $\Delta_a$  for every  $a \in A$ .*

**6.7 Corollary** *Let  $f : X \longrightarrow X'$  be a homeomorphism of affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings. Then  $f$  carries apartments to apartments.*

**6.8 Corollary** *A homeomorphism of affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings  $X, X'$  induces an isomorphism (in a sense to be made precise); moreover,  $\Delta_{\infty} X \cong \Delta_{\infty} X'$ .*

## 7 Proof of the Margulis conjecture

Now we outline a proof of the Margulis conjecture, using the previous results.

**7.1** Let  $X, X'$  be Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type, without de Rham factor of rank 1, and let

$$f : X \longrightarrow X'$$

be an  $(L, C)$ -quasi-isometry,

$$L^{-1} \cdot d(x, y) - C \leq d'(f(x), f(y)) \leq L \cdot d(x, y) + C.$$

Taking ultrapowers of  $X$  and  $X'$ , we obtain an  $(L, C)$  quasi-isometry

$$*f : *X \longrightarrow *X'$$

between the  $\Lambda$ -metric spaces  $*X, *X'$ , where  $(\Lambda, +) \cong (*\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \cdot)$  (we use the metric  $d$  introduced in 4.2, which is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the metric  $d_R$  induced by the Riemannian metric).

**7.2** Pick any point  $o \in *X$ , and an element  $\alpha \in *\mathbb{R}$ , with  $\alpha \gg 1$ . The properties of a quasi-isometry and the finiteness of the constants  $L, C$  imply that we have an  $(L, C)$ -quasi-isometry

$$(*X)_o^{(\alpha)} \xrightarrow{*f} (*X')_{f(o)}^{(\alpha)}$$

of  $\Lambda^{(\alpha)}$ -metric spaces, which descends to a (bi-Lipschitz) homeomorphism

$$(*X)_o^{(\alpha)} \xrightarrow{F} (*X')_{f(o)}^{(\alpha)}$$

on the asymptotic cones.

**7.3** Let  $R = {}^\rho\mathbb{R} = (*\mathbb{R})^{(\alpha)}$  denote Robinson's asymptotic field, and let  $O = O_{(\bar{\alpha})}$ . By the results in Section 5,  $Z = (*X)_o^{(\alpha)} = G(R)/G(O)$  and  $Z' = G'(R)/G'(O) = (*X')_{f(o)}^{(\alpha)}$  are affine  $\mathbb{R}$ -buildings. By 6.8, we have an isomorphism

$$\Delta_\infty Z \cong \Delta_\infty Z',$$

whence a group isomorphism  $G({}^\rho\mathbb{R}) \cong G'({}^\rho\mathbb{R})$ . This implies that there is a Lie group isomorphism  $G \cong G'$ , and so, an equivariant diffeomorphism

$$X = G/K \cong G'/K' = X'.$$

It follows that the metrics on the de Rham factors of  $X$  can be rescaled such that  $X$  and  $X'$  are isometric.

**7.4** A careful analysis of the quasi-isometry shows that a stronger result holds, see [12]: there exists a (necessarily unique) isometry  $\bar{f} : X \longrightarrow X'$  such that  $\bar{f}$  has bounded distance from  $f$ . For the proof, one has to consider different choices for  $\alpha$  and the base point  $o$ ; the main step is to show that  $f$  is (after rescaling the metrics on the de Rham factors) a coarse isometry. The original proof of this by Kleiner-Leeb can be simplified in a substantial way, using  $\Lambda$ -buildings and model theoretic methods.

## References

- [1] R. Alperin and H. Bass, Length functions of group actions on  $\Lambda$ -trees. *Combinatorial group theory and topology* (Alta, Utah, 1984), 265–378, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.
- [2] W. Ballmann, M. Gromov and V. Schroeder, *Manifolds of nonpositive curvature*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.
- [3] C. Bennett, Affine  $\Lambda$ -buildings. I. Proc. London Math. Soc. 68 (1994) 541–576.
- [4] G. Bredon, *Sheaf theory*, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [5] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [6] K. Brown, *Buildings*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1989).
- [7] C.C. Chang and H.J. Keisler, *Model theory*. 3rd ed. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1990.
- [8] P. Eberlein, *Geometry of nonpositively curved manifolds*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1996.
- [9] A. Eskin and B. Farb, Quasi-flats and rigidity in higher rank symmetric spaces. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1997) 653–692.
- [10] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. *Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991)*, 1–295, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [11] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*. Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1978.
- [12] B. Kleiner and B. Leeb, *Rigidity of quasi-isometries for symmetric spaces and Euclidean buildings*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 86 (1997) 115–197.
- [13] B. Kostant, On convexity, the Weyl group and the Iwasawa decomposition. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 6 (1973), 413–455.
- [14] R. Löwen, Topology and dimension of stable planes: on a conjecture of H. Freudenthal. J. Reine Angew. Math. 343 (1983) 108–122.
- [15] W. Luxemburg, On a class of valuation fields introduced by A. Robinson. Israel J. Math. 25 (1976) 189–201.
- [16] G.D. Mostow, *Strong rigidity of locally symmetric spaces*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1973.
- [17] A. Parreau, Immeubles affines: construction par les normes et étude des isométries. *Crystallographic groups and their generalizations (Kortrijk, 1999)*, 263–302, Contemp. Math., 262, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

- [18] S. Prieß-Crampe, *Angeordnete Strukturen: Gruppen, Körper, projektive Ebenen*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [19] A.H. Lightstone and A. Robinson, *Nonarchimedean fields and asymptotic expansions*. North-Holland Publishing Co., New York, 1975.
- [20] M. Ronan, *Lectures on buildings*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1989.
- [21] B. Thornton, *Asymptotic cones of symmetric spaces*, PhD Thesis, Univ. Utah, 2002.
- [22] J. Tits, Immeubles de type affine. *Buildings and the geometry of diagrams (Como, 1984)*, 159–190, Lecture Notes in Math. 1181, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [23] L. van den Dries and A. Wilkie, Gromov’s theorem on groups of polynomial growth and elementary logic. *J. Algebra* 89 (1984) 349–374.